

MINUTES OF THE 787th MEETING
THE SOMERSET RARITAN VALLEY SEWERAGE AUTHORITY
MARCH 26, 2012

Minute 1 - Opening of Meeting

The 787th Meeting of the Somerset Raritan Valley Sewerage Authority was called to order at 7:30 P.M. by the Chairman, Edward Machala.

Minute 2 - Open Public Meetings Announcement - Executive Director

The Open Public Meeting Announcement was read by the Executive Director, Glen D. Petrauski.

Minute 3 - Roll Call

<u>Present</u>	<u>Absent</u>		<u>Present</u>	<u>Absent</u>	
<u>X</u>	_____	Arthur Quade	<u>X</u>	_____	Richard C. Mathews
_____	<u>X</u>	Richard DeLuca	<u>X</u>	_____	Robert McCray
<u>X</u>	_____	Carlos Mosquera	<u>X</u>	_____	Joseph J. Lifrieri
_____	<u>X</u>	Philip Petrone	<u>X</u>	_____	Gail Quabeck
<u>X</u>	_____	Peter F. Stires	<u>X</u>	_____	Robert Albano
<u>X</u>	_____	Carolann Garafola	<u>X</u>	_____	Edward J. Machala
_____	<u>X</u>	Herbert Vollers			

Professional Staff

<u>X</u>	_____	Glen D. Petrauski, Executive Director
<u>X</u>	_____	John S. Thompson, Plant Superintendent
<u>X</u>	_____	James L. Brandes, P.E., CDM, Consulting Engineer
<u>X</u>	_____	Joseph J. Maraziti, Jr., Esq. MFH, General Counsel
<u>X</u>	_____	Ronald Anastasio, P.E., Assist. Exec. Dir./Facility Engineer
<u>X</u>	_____	Norma A. Smullen, Office/Human Resources Manager
<u>X</u>	_____	Peter Wozniak, Chief Financial Officer

Others

James F. Cosgrove, Jr., P.E., Omni Environmental LLC
Anthony Tambasco, SRVSA Maintenance Supervisor

Minute 4 - Pledge of Allegiance

All in attendance saluted the flag.

Minute 5 - Approval of Minutesa. 786th Meeting - February 27, 2012 - Regular Session

Upon motion of Mr. Albano, second of Mr. Quade, the Minutes of the 786th Meeting (Regular Session) were approved by the following roll call vote:

Arthur Quade	Yes	Richard C. Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Absent	Robert McCray	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph J. Lifrieri	Yes
Philip Petrone	Absent	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Peter F. Stires	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Edward Machala	Yes
Herbert Vollers	Absent		

A copy of the Minutes are attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

b. 786th Meeting - February 27, 2012 - Closed Session

Upon motion of Mr. Albano, second of Mr. Quade, the Minutes of the 786th Meeting (Closed Session) were approved by the following roll call vote:

Arthur Quade	Yes	Richard C. Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Absent	Robert McCray	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph J. Lifrieri	Yes
Philip Petrone	Absent	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Peter F. Stires	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Edward Machala	Yes
Herbert Vollers	Absent		

A copy of the Minutes are attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

Minute 6 - Public Hearing(s) - (Including Public Notice/Support Information) - None

Minute 7 - Public Participation - Seeing no one from the public, the Chairman declared the Public Participation portion of the meeting closed.

Minute 8 - Resolutions for Consideration and Possible Formal Action

- a. Res. No. 12-0326-1 - Resolution Awarding Bid For Purchase of One (1) New, Current Production Model JCB 3CX-15 4X4 Backhoe Loader With Extendable Rear Stick or Approved Equal

Upon motion of Ms. Quabeck, second of Mr. McCray, the above resolution was approved following a brief discussion. The question was raised as to why only one bid was received. Mr. Petruski responded that the bid was advertised, two bids were picked up, but only one supplier chose to bid for the backhoe/loader. Secondly, the question was raised as to the amount budgeted for the backhoe/loader, and Mr. Petruski stated that the Authority budgeted around \$80,000 for the backhoe/loader so the bid came in close to where it was expected and there was no state contract.

The question was raised as to the possibility of utilizing shared services. Mr. Petruski reported that when the Authority really would need the backhoe/loader, everyone else would need it at the same time.

Ms. Garafola asked where the additional \$4,600 would come from since there was only \$80,000 budgeted for the backhoe/loader. Mr. Petruski stated that there would be other areas of the budget that would come in under their anticipated cost so this additional cost would not exceed the budget. The roll call vote was as follows:

Arthur Quade	Yes	Richard C. Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Absent	Robert McCray	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph J. Lifrieri	Yes
Philip Petrone	Absent	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Peter F. Stires	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Edward Machala	Yes
Herbert Vollers	Absent		

A copy of the resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

- b. Res. No. 12-0326-2 - Resolution Authorizing The Executive Director, The Assistant Executive Director/Facility Engineer And The Chief Financial Officer To Attend The AEA Annual Spring Conference (Utility Management Conference) In Atlantic City On April 24-25, 2012

Upon motion of Mr. Quade, second of Mr. Albano, the above resolution was approved by the following roll call vote:

Arthur Quade	Yes	Richard C. Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Absent	Robert McCray	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph J. Lifrieri	Yes
Philip Petrone	Absent	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Peter F. Stires	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Edward Machala	Yes
Herbert Vollers	Absent		

A copy of the resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

The question was raised whether there was an advantage for any of the Commissioners attending the conference. Mr. Petruski responded that there is always an advantage to attending the various conferences, and he believed a memo was forwarded to all Commissioners asking if they would be interested in attending the conference. Chairman Machala stated that it is always beneficial to attend the AEA Conferences. A brief discussion ensued.

- c. Res. No. 12-0326-3 - Resolution Authorizing 2012 Amendment To Service Agreements To Remove All References To The Assured Minimum Flow And The Minimum Annual Service Charge

Upon motion of Mr. Stires, second of Ms. Quabeck, the above resolution was approved by the following roll call vote after a brief discussion.

The question was raised by Ms. Garafolo that if the Board votes no (all Commissioners), what would happen. Ms. Quabeck responded that that would be the end of it.

Mr. Petruski reported that everyone has an assured minimum but that only Raritan and Somerville are impacted by it. If the Assured Minimum is eliminated, any additional costs would be shared by all of the participants. Ms. Garafola stated that she would not want to see Warren Township residents having to pick up any additional costs.

Ms. Quabeck reported that any additional costs to the Participants, as a result of eliminating the assured minimum, would be minimal. Chairman Machala stated that obviously any shortfall would have to be picked up and shared by all Participants.

Mr. Petruski went on to further explain the assured minimum flow charge process. He stated that the assured minimum is a hold over from the beginning of the Authority; it really has no effect. Mr. Petruski went on to report that if the assured minimum were to be eliminated, there could be a slight impact on money that was not raised by Somerville being spread to all Participants and that this has only happened three or four times in the last 20 years. Additionally, the elimination of the assured minimum is a disincentive to do I/I work. Right now all that is being asked is that the Board decide whether or not the assured minimum should be eliminated.

Ms. Garafola questioned that, if the assured minimum flow is removed and all commissioners vote yes, that means there is no longer any assured minimum. Mr. Petruski went on to report that all Participants must pass parallel resolutions/ordinances in addition to the one that is being presented to the Board before the assured minimum would be eliminated. The resolution being considered by the Board of Commissioners is authorizing the Commissioners to bring this back to their towns for consideration.

Attorney Maraziti went on to state that the Authority is one of the signatories to the contract so that in order to change the contract, everyone who has signed the contract, all seven (7) Participants, must also agree to the change.

Attorney Maraziti went on to state that the additional cost to the Participants would be minimal. He went on to state that not all of the Participants would benefit from all of the decisions made by the Authority. It would never balance out what the needs are that one has at one time and another has at another time. These differences need to be recognized. Sometimes you are asked to give more as a member than what you would get and other times you might get more.

The question was raised as to why the minimum just can't be lowered. Ms. Quabeck stated that we do not need a minimum at all. A brief discussion ensued on the determination of flows during wet/dry years.

Mr. Petruski stated that previously when the issue was raised, Bridgewater and Branchburg did not accept the resolution and the motion died. The issue was revisited last year, but Bridgewater voted no and it was turned over to Somerville to pursue with the other 6 Participants to get their support. The Resolution to be passed will give the Participants to make the ultimate decision regarding the assured minimum. Again, a discussion ensued.

Chairman Machala stated that these are very complex topics and that was the reason for having the Special Meeting and that both issues; the assured minimum and meter chamber no. 4 were discussed in great length at that time. Mr. Machala went on to state that he felt everyone probably has decided whether they are for or against the elimination of the assured minimum and that a vote be taken.

Ms. Garafola asked how other people voted in the past. Ms. Quabeck stated that she voted yes before and would vote yes again for it is the only fair way to do it. She stated that she does not feel the Authority should ask Somerville or, on occasion, Raritan to pay for flow they are not delivering to the Authority.

Ms. Quabeck stated that Hillsborough had to pay the assured minimum for a number of years, but she felt the assured minimum should be eliminated.

Mr. Lifrieri stated that Bridgewater paid the assured minimum for six (6) years as set originally by Somerville and Raritan and that now Somerville and Raritan do not want to pay the assured minimum. Mr. Petruski stated that Mr. Lifrieri is implying that since Bridgewater paid the assured minimum before, then Somerville and Raritan should pay it now. He went on to ask whether Somerville and Raritan should vote down any plant expansion if they would not benefit from it.

Mr. Albano stated that he, as a Commissioner, felt that the discussions should take place among the Commissioners and he objected to Mr. Petruski, as not being a Commissioner, taking such a strong position and should only provide technical information and not being an advocate for one side. Chairman Machala stated that Mr. Petruski is responding to direct questions from the Board Members.

Mr. Petruski stated that as the Executive Director of the Authority he has always taken the position to advise the Authority of what he thought. He went on to state that the Commissioners are the ones who are going to vote, not him.

Commissioner Machala asked whether any other Commissioners had any comments. Commissioner Quade reported that as far as Branchburg is concerned he felt that since Branchburg's flow is so far above the minimum and that Branchburg will be growing, he sees the assured minimum as simply something on paper and that there was no value to it. Again, a discussion ensued. It was suggested that possibly a minimum be set and that the minimum be periodically reviewed every couple of years depending on the growth of the towns. Attorney Maraziti reported that the assured minimum was for the start-up phase of the Authority and was only meant for a limited time period, and that it has become a "sword" for one of the original towns.

Arthur Quade	Yes	Richard C. Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Absent	Robert McCray	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	No	Joseph J. Lifrieri	No
Philip Petrone	Absent	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Peter F. Stires	Yes	Robert Albano	No
Carolann Garafola	No	Edward Machala	Yes
Herbert Vollers	Absent		

A copy of the resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

Ms. Garafola questioned whether the passing of the Authority's resolution meant that the Commissioners would be going back to their respective sewerage authorities for a like resolution/ordinance by them. Attorney Maraziti stated that the SRVSA (Executive Director) would be following up on the terms of the Authority resolution by forwarding the resolution to the participants requesting a parallel resolution/ordinance to eliminate the assured minimum.

Minute 9 - Board Committee

a. Personnel Committee (PETRONE, Quabeck, Quade)

- (1) Report on permanent status of William Durdan-Incinerator Operator

Ms. Quabeck stated that the Personnel Committee, based on the memorandum from John Thompson, Plant Superintendent, Mr. Durdan was being granted permanent status as an Incinerator Operator.

Ms. Garafola asked for an explanation of the ruling of seniority and equally qualified for the selection of an employee. Mr. Petrauski stated that personnel records are reviewed and that an effort is made to advance the most qualified individual.

- (2) Res. No. 12-0326-4 - Resolution Granting Permanent Status to William Durdan - Incinerator Operator

Upon motion of Ms. Quabeck, second of Mr. Stires, the above resolution was approved by the following roll call vote:

Arthur Quade	Yes	Richard C. Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Absent	Robert McCray	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph J. Lifrieri	Yes
Philip Petrone	Absent	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Peter F. Stires	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Edward Machala	Yes
Herbert Vollers	Absent		

A copy of the resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

b. Chairperson

Chairman Machala stated that he normally does not comment, but from his perspective, he prides himself on the Authority being very dedicated, trying to do the right thing and trying to make the Authority function well as a regional authority with all member towns benefitting from this when an authority operates efficiently.

However, he sees some of the recent events which seem to be counterproductive in doing what is right for the Authority as a whole and he would hope that everyone would focus on what is good for the entire Authority and not what is best for the individual host municipality.

Minute 10 - Reports

a. Executive Director's Report

(1) Outfall Relocation Project

Mr. Anastasio reported that the south distribution chamber on the southern leg of the outfall which has to pass the hydrostatic test in accordance with the specifications did not pass the test. Claims were filed with the company and representatives came out and made the necessary repairs. Now it is necessary to revisit the testing of the chamber and then the final 21 feet of the pipeline can be tested.

Additionally CDM is preparing reports with findings and the next steps to be taken to test the lines to determine if there are any problems and get them corrected.

(2) Update on County Wastewater Management Plan

Mr. Petruski reported that the County has submitted all of the required maps to the State and it is hoped that the approval of the maps will begin next month. There are no flows associated with the maps; they are just service area maps.

(3) Report on EPA/Pfizer Public Hearing and Interaction With SRVSA

Mr. Petruski reported that he and Mr. Cosgrove attended the Public Hearing on behalf of the Authority. He stated that the Authority is a stakeholder and has been treating the water for 30 years. Mr. Petruski went on to report that the Authority is in agreement with the EPA in that Pfizer should treat its own flow and that as time goes on treating the flow will become more problematic.

(a) Report and update regarding Authority comments at EPA Public Hearing for remediation of the Pfizer Superfund Site based on Feasibility Study, February 2012

Mr. Petrauski asked Mr. Cosgrove to orient everyone with where the SRVSA property is located and where the Authority discharges. Mr. Cosgrove went on to state that one of the common misconceptions is that people believe that the Authority discharges to a segment of the Raritan River. However, that is not the case. The Authority discharges to Cuckels Brook which in turn runs down American Cyanamid property and then into the Raritan River.

Mr. Cosgrove gave an explanation of the seeps that were observed along the northern bank of the Raritan River whereby extremely high concentrations of contaminants/organics such as Benzene and Arsenic that have been leaching into the River. He went on to state that during the sampling of the Raritan River something did not make sense; something was going on to make the River to not behave as would be expected, and he now believes that the reason for part of that is the contamination in the River from the Cyanamid property.

In order to alleviate the contamination that is coming into the River, the issue to be discussed tonight, is that American Cyanamid is building trenches along the edge of their property that borders Cuckels Brook and the Raritan River which will intercept the shallow groundwater which is now flowing into the Raritan River and after this groundwater was intercepted they were going to come to the SRVSA with it. The new plan is to build their own contaminated groundwater treatment facility, and they are proposing to discharge to the eastern branch of Cuckels Brook.

Mr. Cosgrove went on to state that Pfizer had applied for a general permit; a permit that would be used for a small contaminated site—not something that would be used for a large Superfund Site. The general permit that Pfizer applied for has effluent requirements (minimum standards) meaning that if the effluent concentrations for various pollutants that are defined by the regulations are met you are automatically in compliance with the permit. The problem is that the standards are higher than the stream standards. Once the discharge moves from the present location to the new location, Cuckels Brook will be practically dry, the permit will violate the standards. Now a Superfund Site, with highly contaminated groundwater, potentially could be allowed to discharge with concentrations far higher than the SRVSA would be allowed to discharge. Mr. Cosgrove reported that the DEP now understands this and the EPA is beginning to understand this and a meeting is expected to be held shortly over this issue.

Mr. Cosgrove went on to give an example of Benzene and the stream standards and how it is so unusual to see such concentrations.

Mr. Cosgrove went on to state that Omni has been monitoring the Raritan River, Millstone River and Cuckels Brook for many years. He went on to state that the impacts that were expected to be seen under critical low flow warm weather conditions were not occurring during the conditions you would expect them to occur and were occurring during times you would not expect them to be occurring. Further sampling was done. Mr. Cosgrove went on to explain the locations of the various sampling stations R1, R2, R3 and R4 and went on to discuss what information is provided by each sampling station.

Mr. Petruski questioned if the River is declared as impaired, whatever DEP finds in a certain location, they make the assumption that this location is representative of a certain location upstream and a certain location downstream. The importance is that any parameter that is listed as impaired by DEP, SRVSA gets no credit for dilution. Mr. Cosgrove stressed the importance that first a stream is being defined as being impaired for the proper parameters and secondly the stream is defined as being impaired for the proper locations. A brief discussion ensued.

Mr. Petruski reported that when the Authority was in discussions with the County regarding the Wastewater Management Plan, they are finally understanding that no matter how many plans you have, depending on what the water quality is and how it is impaired, it is what list the DEP uses to develop the permit limits.

- (b) Discussion of impact on the Authority by EPA's decision to mandate Pfizer to build an on-site treatment system to treat the overburdened contaminated groundwater

Mr. Petruski went on to discuss the fact that Pfizer is looking to build their own plant and treat their own flow, and it is felt that it is in the Authority's best interest to have them do this. A discussion ensued on information provided by the Authority's CFO regarding the financial impact of Pfizer having their own treatment facility. In the transition of their flow being moved out, it does not seem that there will be much of a change in the revenue area because there are changes in the Wastewater Management Plan.

- (c) Report and recommendation for baseline Raritan River water quality monitoring/sampling plan and discussions and presentation with EPA on need for monitoring of receiving stream to

determine impact of contaminated groundwater in Cuckels Brook and the Raritan River

This section was discussed earlier.

- (d) Review of official written comments to be submitted to EPA in conjunction with the site remediation Public Hearing

Mr. Petrauski stated that the reason for having a meeting with EPA is to let them know that the Authority is a stakeholder and that the Authority has an idea of what is going on in the River and also to share this information with them and let them know the reason for the Authority's concern in this matter.

The question was raised as to what Pfizer had to say about building their own treatment plant. A brief discussion ensued.

[1] Res. No. 12-0326-5 - Resolution Authorizing The Submission of Official Comments to EPA

Upon motion of Mr. Albano, second of Ms. Quabeck, the above resolution was approved by the following roll call vote:

Arthur Quade	Yes	Richard C. Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Absent	Robert McCray	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph J. Lifrieri	Yes
Philip Petrone	Absent	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Peter F. Stires	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Edward Machala	Yes
Herbert Vollers	Absent		

A copy of the resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

- (4) Report and recommendation for authorizing the retaining of Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants and Thomas L. Yager & Associates to perform an environmental review and identification of environmental concerns and a full survey and base map of the storm control pump station/meter chamber No. 4 area and associated properties for use in the investigation and design to address the sanitary sewer overflows at Meter Chamber No. 4

Mr. Petrauski reported that the first resolution is to have an environmental assessment performed on the area around Meter Chamber No. 4 done and to have a survey map done of the same area.

- (a) Res. No. 12-0326-6 - Resolution Accepting Proposal Dated February 14, 2012 From Amy S. Greene Consultants To Perform An Environmental Review And Identification of Environmental Concerns Of The Storm Control Pump Station/Meter Chamber No. 4 Area And Associated Property For Use In the Investigation, Design And Solution To Sanitary Sewer Overflows At Meter Chamber No. 4

Upon motion of Mr. Quade, second of Ms. Quabeck, the above resolution was approved by the following roll call vote:

Arthur Quade	Yes	Richard C. Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Absent	Robert McCray	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph J. Lifrieri	Yes
Philip Petrone	Absent	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Peter F. Stires	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Edward Machala	Yes
Herbert Vollers	Absent		

A copy of the resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

- (b) Res. No. 12-0326-7 - Resolution Accepting Proposal Dated August 2, 2011 From Thomas L. Yager & Associates To Conduct A Full Survey And Base Map Of The Storm Control Pump Station/Meter Chamber No. 4 Area And Associated Property For Use In The Investigation and Design To Address The Sanitary Sewer Overflows at Meter Chamber No.4

Upon motion of Mr. Lifrieri, second of Ms. Garafola, the above resolution was approved by the following roll call vote:

Arthur Quade	Yes	Richard C. Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Absent	Robert McCray	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph J. Lifrieri	Yes
Philip Petrone	Absent	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Peter F. Stires	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Edward Machala	Yes
Herbert Vollers	Absent		

A copy of the resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

- (5) Update on Duke Farms created wetlands encroachment on Authority interceptor easement

Mr. Petruski reported that Duke Farms, approximately two years ago, entered into an agreement with the Federal Government for a conservation easement. The Authority raised concerns with Duke Farms and advised them that they cannot impact on the Authority's easements—we need to have access to maintain our easements and that the Authority paid for wetlands remediation when the easements were built. The Authority is going to meet with them to advise them how their easement is impacting on our ability to maintain our easement.

- (6) Report on planned attendance at Annual Sustainability of Raritan River Seminar sponsored by Rutgers University and the Sustainable Raritan River Collaborative

Mr. Petruski reported that the Raritan River Sustainability Collaborative invited him to speak to their group last year about the Authority, and stated that he found the group very interesting and informative. He went on to state that he would like the Authority to fund a booth at their Seminar to outreach to the environmental groups to let them know who we are and what we do. The booth would furnish information about what the Authority's compliance history is and how much pollution the Authority prevents from getting into the Raritan River. Ms. Quabeck stated that she was in favor of the idea. Mr. Lifrieri stated that the program sounds like a good way to educate people. Mr. Petruski stated that he would probably have Ron Anastasio, John Thompson and Michael Ingenito attend as well to man the table.

- (7) Update on DEP Inspection Report

Mr. Petruski stated that the DEP Inspection Report was included to inform the Board that we are in compliance and shows what the DEP is looking for when they do the inspection.

- (8) Update on status of litigation with Carbro Constructors Corp. (**CLOSED SESSION**) **LITIGATION**
- (9) Discussion and authorization to begin litigation against CNA-**LITIGATION**

b. Engineer/Consultants

- (1) James L. Brandes, P.E. (CDM) Engineer's Report for March 2012 - No questions and no additional comments.

a. Attorney - Joseph J. Maraziti, Jr.

d. Department Reports

- | | |
|----------------|----------------------------|
| (1) Operations | (3) Maintenance/Electrical |
| (2) Laboratory | (4) Special Projects |

No additional comments regarding Department Reports.

e. Facility Engineer Reports

- | |
|--|
| (1) Capacity Allocation |
| (2) Capacity Assurance |
| (3) Monthly Flow Report |
| (4) Facility Engineer's Monthly Report |

No questions or comments regarding the Facility Engineer's Reports.

f. Budget Reports

No comments on the Budget Reports.

Minute 11 - Communications - NoneMinute 12 - Payroll (Res. No. 12-0326-8)

Upon motion of Mr. Stires, second of Mr. Quade, the above resolution was approved by the following roll call vote:

Arthur Quade	Yes	Richard C. Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Absent	Robert McCray	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph J. Lifrieri	Yes
Philip Petrone	Absent	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Peter F. Stires	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Edward Machala	Yes
Herbert Vollers	Absent		

A copy of the resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

Minute 13 - Cancellation of Checks - NoneMinute 14 - Bills (Res. No. 12-0326-9)

Upon motion of Ms. Quabeck, second of Mr. Stires, the above resolution was approved by the following roll call vote:

Arthur Quade	Yes	Richard C. Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Absent	Robert McCray	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph J. Lifrieri	Yes
Philip Petrone	Absent	Gail Quabeck	Yes

Peter F. Stires	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Edward Machala	Yes
Herbert Vollers	Absent		

A copy of the resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

Minute 15 - Motion to Adjourn to Closed Session

Upon motion of Mr. Quade, second of Mr. Albano, the meeting was adjourned to Closed Session at 9:08 P.M. Attorney Maraziti stated that the reasons for the Closed Session were for an update on the status of the litigation with Carbro Contractors and discussion and authorization to continue litigation with Carbro by the following roll call vote:

Arthur Quade	Yes	Richard C. Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Absent	Robert McCray	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph J. Lifrieri	Yes
Philip Petrone	Absent	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Peter F. Stires	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Edward Machala	Yes
Herbert Vollers	Absent		

Minute 16 - Closed Session

Minute 17 - Motion to Reconvene in Open Session

Upon motion of Mr. Albano, second of Mr. Stires, the meeting was reconvened in Regular Session at 9:29 P.M. by the following roll call vote:

Arthur Quade	Yes	Richard C. Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Absent	Robert McCray	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph J. Lifrieri	Yes
Philip Petrone	Absent	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Peter F. Stires	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Edward Machala	Yes
Herbert Vollers	Absent		

Minute 18 - Adjournment

Upon motion of Mr. Albano, second of Mr. Stires, the meeting was adjourned at 9:30 P.M. by the following roll call vote:

Arthur Quade	Yes	Richard C. Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Absent	Robert McCray	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph J. Lifrieri	Yes
Philip Petrone	Absent	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Peter F. Stires	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Edward Machala	Yes
Herbert Vollers	Absent		

