



The
Somerset Raritan Valley
Sewerage Authority

MINUTES OF THE 810th MEETING
THE SOMERSET RARITAN VALLEY SEWERAGE AUTHORITY
FEBRUARY 24, 2014

Minute 1 - Opening of Meeting

The 810th Meeting of the Somerset Raritan Valley Sewerage Authority was called to order at 7:32 P.M. by the Chairman, Peter Stires.

Minute 2 - Open Public Meetings Announcement

The Open Public Meeting Announcement was read by the Assistant Executive Director/Facility Engineer, Ronald S. Anastasio.

Minute 3 - Roll Call

Todd Hay	Present	Richard Mathews	Present
Richard DeLuca	Present	Michael Merdinger	Present
Carlos Mosquera	Present	Joseph J. Lifrieri	Absent
Philip Petrone	Present	Michael Impellizeri	Present
Edward Machala	Present	Gail Quabeck	Absent
Carolann Garafola	Present	Robert Albano	Present
Steven Mlenak	Present	Peter Stires	Present

Professional Staff

Glen D. Petrauski, Executive Director	Present
Ronald Anastasio, P.E., Assist. Exec. Dir./Facility Engineer	Present
John S. Thompson, Plant Superintendent	Present
Anthony Tambasco, Plant Superintendent	Present
Michael Ingenito, Second Shift Supervisor	Absent
Thomas Laustsen, CDM Smith, Consulting Engineer	Present
Joseph J. Maraziti, Jr., Esq. MFH, General Counsel	Absent
Norma A. Smullen, Office/Human Resources Manager	Present
Peter Wozniak, Chief Financial Officer	Present

Others

Andrew Brewer, Esq., General Counsel, Maraziti, Falcon & Healey, LLP
James F. Cosgrove, Jr., P.E., Water Quality Engineer, Kleinfelder/Omni

Minute 4 - Pledge of Allegiance

All in attendance saluted the flag.

Minute 5 - Approval of Minutesa. 809th Meeting - January 27, 2014 - Regular Session

Upon motion of Mr. Albano, second of Mr. Hay, the Minutes of the 809th Meeting (Regular Session) were approved by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Absent
Philip Petrone	Abstain	Michael Impellizeri	Abstain
Edward Machala	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Absent
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Abstain	Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the Minutes are attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

b. 809th Meeting - January 27, 2014 - Closed Session

Upon motion of Mr. Machala, second of Mr. Mathews, the Minutes of the 809th Meeting (Closed Session) were approved by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Absent
Philip Petrone	Abstain	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Absent
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Abstain	Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the Minutes are attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

c. Reorganization Meeting - February 10, 2014 (Rescheduled from February 3, 2014)

Upon motion of Mr. Hay, second of Mr. Mosquera, the Minutes of the Reorganization Meeting were approved by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Absent
Philip Petrone	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Abstain
Edward Machala	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Absent
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the Minutes are attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

Minute 6 - Public Hearing(s) - (Including Public Notice/Support Information) - None

Minute 7 - Public Participation - Seeing no one from the public, the Public Participation Portion of the meeting was Closed.

Minute 8 - Resolutions for Consideration and Possible Formal Action

- a. Res. No. 14-0224-1 Resolution Authorizing The Executive Director and the Assistant Executive Director/Facility Engineer To Attend The AEA Annual Spring Conference (Utility Management Conference) In Atlantic City On March 11-12, 2014

Upon motion of Mr. Machala, second of Mr. Albano, the above resolution was approved by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Absent
Philip Petrone	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Absent
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

Minute 9 - Board Committees

- a. Personnel Committee (PETRONE, Albano, DeLuca, Mathews, Quabeck)

- (1) Report on permanent status of Erik Gast

Committee Chairman Petrone reported that, based on memos from John Thompson, Plant Superintendent, and Debra Latham, Laboratory Supervisor, Mr. Gast has successfully completed his 90 day probationary period and was being recommended for the position of Laboratory Assistant.

- (2) Res. No. 14-0224-2 - Resolution Granting Permanent Status To Erik Gast as Laboratory Assistant

Upon motion of Mr. Petrone, second of Mr. DeLuca, the above resolution was approved by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Absent
Philip Petrone	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Absent
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

b. Chairperson - No additional comments.

Minute 10 - Reports

a. Executive Director's Report

Mr. Anastasio stated that Mr. Cosgrove would be giving a presentation of a review and discussion of the Authority's final NJPDES Permit.

Mr. Cosgrove opened by stating that his presentation was to give the Board Members an overview of the new NJPDES Permit issued to the Authority for its discharge to the Raritan River as well as pointing out that if the Authority wished to contest any of the terms of the Permit, it must submit an adjudicatory hearing request by March 7, 2014. He went on to report that the planning for this Permit began back in 1988, and the Authority has been working on the terms and conditions of the Permit for many years and stated that there a few issues that the Authority wishes to adjudicate emphasizing that if the Authority did not adjudicate these changes, the terms and conditions of the permit would become final and effective and would be difficult to change in the future (due to anti-backsliding and anti-degradation regulations).

Mr. Cosgrove began by stating that the NJPDES Permit (New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System) is required for all entities discharging to surface water (streams, rivers, lakes). This permit allows the Authority to discharge 23 mgd to the Raritan River and ultimately to 24.31 mgd (when the plant is expanded or rerated). The Permit contains terms and conditions for the discharge quantity (permitted flow) and quality (effluent limits) and monitoring requirements (WCR). The Permit also has requirements for studies or documentations (local limits, stream studies).

He went on to state that the key to the terms (the quality related terms in the Permit) are Water Quality-Based Effluent Limits (WQBELs) which is setting the discharge concentration at a level in such a way as you will not violate stream standards (Surface Water Quality Standards). The calculations are made on under critical low stream conditions which is a big issue with the Authority's new permit.

He went on to state that once the Permit Effluent Limit is set and becomes effective, it is very difficult to get the condition taken out in the future. Once the Permit becomes effective and the terms and conditions become final in the Permit, it becomes very difficult to get the terms/conditions changed; it is a painstaking review process to ensure the limits are correct.

Mr. Cosgrove went on to state that finally, because the Authority is a Delegated Pretreatment Facility and because the Authority has permits for some of the industries that discharge to the Authority, there are regulations that require the Authority to have effluent limits for any parameter that the Authority regulates to the industry. Mr. Cosgrove stated that there is always a balancing act with respect to permits on industrial users.

Mr. Petrauski raised the question that it may be easy to control a parameter in an industrial process as opposed to the Authority to treat it here with all of the flow that is coming in from all different places. Mr. Cosgrove stated that there are some pollutants that are regulated in the stream that are regulated at extreme low concentrations. It was reported that for those parameters, the best way to handle it is not to try to find a way to treat it once it enters the Authority's system but to ensure that it never reaches the Authority by working with the industrial users to remove the pollutants before they reach the Authority. A brief discussion ensued.

The focus was now directed to Authority's Final Permit. Mr. Cosgrove stated that initially the NJDEP issued the Authority a Preliminary Draft Permit which we commented on. Secondly, the NJDEP issued a Draft Permit which we commented on. Lastly, the NJDEP issued a Final Permit which the Authority is about to comment on. He went on to state that the Final Permit is very favorable for the Authority and the Final Permit does not require any upgrades to the Authority's facility. Mr. Cosgrove went on to inform the Board that this took many years of negotiating these terms with the NJDEP and studying the stream and understanding what was important and what was not important.

Mr. Petrauski interjected that in the mid 80's work was started on the modeling of the river and starting to understanding water quality and that as we go through each Permit cycle every time the Authority goes through each Permit cycle our Permit is more correct and that the current Permit is the result of all of this previous work. What must be done now are the strategic needs that the Authority will need when the Permit comes out in five (5) years. Everything that is being started now is all designed to position the Authority strategically in the next Permit. It has taken 30 years to get us where we are today.

As a result, effluent limitations for the following parameters (CBOD₅, total suspended solids [TSS], fecal coliform, dissolved oxygen, oil & grease and pH will remain essentially the same. Some parameters have actually been removed from the Permit (total dissolved solids [TDS], total phosphorus, bromodichloromethane, arsenic, mercury, and chronic toxicity[CWET] along with the monitoring frequency reduced for many pollutants). Several parameters for which final effluent limits were relaxed (chloroform, chromium and nickel) to higher numbers making it easier to meet the limits in the new Permit.

There was only one pollutant added for monitoring (E.Coli) because stream standards have been adopted for E.Coli since the last permit. Right now a limit has not been set but a monitoring limit. Some standards were made more stringent to achieve compliance with the stream standard (copper, zinc, dibromochloromethane, ammonia and chlorine produced oxidants). However, Mr. Cosgrove reported that there are some concentration limits for which the Authority could not have planned for and should not accept.

In the first instance ammonia concentration limitations were slightly reduced since the NJDEP is claiming that the SRVSA accepted lower limits when the flow was increased from 21.3 mgd to 24 mgd. Mr. Cosgrove stated that the SRVSA did not accept such concentration limits but accepted load limits that were the same for both 24 mgd and 21.3 mgd and this change should be objected to. Mr. Cosgrove went on to state that there is no environmental basis for the reduction in existing concentration. Secondly, chlorine produced oxidant limits were correctly reduced slightly based on stream standards. In this case, however, the monthly average and daily maximum limits were erroneously reversed in the permit. This change in CPO limits currently has no meaningful impact on the SRVSA, however, it may become an issue at some future date.

One of the first issues that Mr. Cosgrove is recommending that should be adjudicated in this Permit is low stream flow statistics that do not respect the minimum passing flow required of the New Jersey Water Supply Authority which has a requirement that they must have 90 mgd passing at the Raritan River Calco Flow Gauge in the Raritan River just downstream of the SRVSA discharge. Previously, the stream flow utilized was based on the minimum passing flow which was a higher flow. This change results in more stringent metals effluent limits than would have been required if the minimum passing flow was utilized. It has been demonstrated through studies that the failure to achieve minimum passing flow has an adverse impact on the water quality of the Raritan River. Additionally this change will have an adverse impact on future effluent limitations for the SRVSA. Due to the negative impact this change made by NJDEP will have on the SRVSA, it is being recommended that the SRVSA object to the usage of low flow values which will not take into account minimum passing flow requirement.

Mr. Cosgrove summarized his presentation by stating that two main issues are being recommended for adjudication by the SRVSA because they will "set the stage" for the next and future permits issued to the SRVSA. First, NJDEP has proposed the use of low stream statistics that do not respect the minimum passing flow required by the New Jersey Water Supply Authority at the Raritan River Calco Flow Gauge. Previously, the stream flow utilized by the NJDEP was based on the minimum passing flow which was a higher flow. This change will require more stringent metals effluent limits. Secondly, the NJDEP has not included the Cuckels Brook Emergency Outfall into the Permit. Even though the SRVSA requested that this Emergency Outfall be allowed, the NJDEP denied this request and incorrectly responded that it cannot allow partially treated effluent to be discharged to Cuckels Brook. The existing permit for the Raritan River does provide for the Cuckels Brook Emergency Outfall. Mr. Cosgrove recommended that the SRVSA object to the use of low flow values that would not be taking into account minimum passing flow requirements. Mr. Petruski expanded on this issue.

The second major issue for consideration is that the Cuckels Brook Emergency Outfall has not been incorporated into the Permit. This outfall was retained for storm events greater than the 25-year flood event. During this time effluent is unable to be fully conveyed by gravity to the Raritan River. At this time, the SRVSA would be able to utilize the Cuckels Brook discharge location. This request was denied and the NJDEP incorrectly responded that it cannot allow partially treated effluent to be discharged to Cuckels Brook. This would not be the case since the SRVSA would fully treat the wastewater before it was discharged to Cuckels Brook under very wet weather.

The question was raised regarding the WQRF Project out of Meter Chamber No. 7 in Somerville and what, if any, impact it would have on the Permit. Mr. Cosgrove reported that there would be no impact on the Permit.

Mr. Cosgrove went on to explain that had the SRVSA not done the prior planning and constructing of the Raritan Outfall and would be regulated by the current Permit for Cuckels Brook, the phosphorous limits, the arsenic limits or mercury limits would not have been achievable with conventional treatment and reverse osmosis would have been required for TDS, arsenic and mercury. He added that if it the SRVSA had not decided that it should relocate its discharge directly to the Raritan River, the permit renewal process would have been entirely different with extremely stringent effluent limitations which could have resulted in the effluent limitations being based on the low flow in Cuckels Brook and would more than likely have resulted in requiring extensive upgrades to the facility. Other resultants could have been more stringent effluent limits for all parameters and having an increase to 24.3 mgd may not have been allowed.

Nitrate limits would have been set that would have necessitated denitrification facilities, chronic toxicity limits would have been set at the most stringent levels and as new pollutants are regulated (pharmaceuticals/contaminants of emerging concern) effluent limits would have been set at extremely low levels due to the volume of SRVSA's discharge relative to the volume of flow into Cuckels Brook. Chronic whole effluent toxicity would have been set at the most stringent levels. He went on to state that the Authority could do nothing and meet the effluent limits that are in the Permit but this would be shortsighted on the Authority' part.

Finally, Mr. Cosgrove stated that it was his recommendation that the Authority file an adjudicatory request on the low stream flow statistics issue, the Cuckels Brook emergency outfall issue and a few other smaller issues. He went on to report that the request must be made within 30 days from when the Permit was issued. At the time the hearing request is made, the Authority will also submit a stay request for any effluent limits that the Authority is objecting to. This will protect the Authority from the anti-backsliding regulations. Mr. Cosgrove reported that it may take months or even a year to receive a response and that it is not uncommon to begin to negotiate the terms of the hearing request when the Authority is in the process of renewing the next draft Permit. Mr. Petruski stated that this goes in front of an administrative law judge.

Additionally, Mr. Petruski went on to report that it is important to protect the Authority from anti-backsliding/anti-degradation regulations. If no objection is made, the Authority could be shut down. A brief discussion ensued.

The question was raised as to what the results might be. Mr. Cosgrove stated that the Cuckels Brook Outfall is non-negotiable. The NJDEP has to provide for this. On the low flow statistics, the NJDEP will tell the Authority that the regulations does not allow them to use the minimum passing flows. Again, a discussion ensued. Mr. Petruski reported that the Authority has been trying to get an answer on this for at least two years.

- (1) Review and discussion of Final NJPDES Permit issued February 5, 2014 with an effective date of March 5, 2014 (**NEGOTIATIONS/ANTICIPATED LITIGATION**)
 - (a) Res. No. 14-0224-3 Resolution Authorizing Submission Of Request For Adjudicatory Hearing Relevant To The New Jersey Department Of Environmental Protection's Issuance of SRVSA's Final NJPDES Permit

Upon motion of Mr. Machala, second of Mr. Mathews, the above resolution was approved by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Absent
Philip Petrone	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Absent
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

(2) Update on hiring process for Facility Engineer

Mr. Anastasio reported that he has interviewed six applicants, and he will be moving on to a second round of interviews and some of these applicants will move on to the second round.

(3) Update on status of request by Millstone Borough to receive sanitary sewer service as drafted in the County Wastewater Management Plan

Mr. Anastasio reported that the Authority has received a request from Millstone Borough and VanCleeef Limited Partnership to discuss Millstone's upcoming sanitary sewer service needs. In April 2013 Millstone Borough was included in the County Wastewater Management Plan. He stated that the Authority is looking to determine what their needs are and what their development plans are.

(4) Update on preparation with utility authority energy aggregation to purchase third party supplied electricity as mandated by BPU

Mr. Anastasio reported that in 2003 when energy was deregulated, it was necessary to go out to bid for electricity. The Authority has now done this for a number of years. He reported that the Authority has received lower electric prices through this aggregate process. Some other options were being looked at, however, it seems that the aggregation is looking to continue with past practice.

(5) Report on Incinerator No. 2 Tube Bundle Rebuild Project

Mr. Anastasio reported that Incinerator No. 2 has a tube bundle that needs to be rebuilt. Throughout 2013 the Authority sought bids to have the tube bundle rebuilt. Each bidding process was unsuccessful. Currently, the Authority has a quote from Alstom Power Incorporated for the repair of the Incinerator No. 2 Heat Exchanger. A brief discussion ensued. Mr. Petruski reported that this would become the Authority's new spare.

- (a) Res. No. 14-0224-4 - Resolution Awarding a Contract To Repair The Incinerator No. 2 Heat Exchanger Tube Bundle To Alstom Power Incorporated

Upon motion of Mr. Albano, second of Mr. Impellizeri, the above resolution was approved by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Absent
Philip Petrone	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Absent
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

Prior to going on, the question was raised as to why the Authority is not receiving more bids for some of the projects and if there would be a better way to advertise. Mr. Petruski stated that some of the work is very specialized, and that we have always had this problem and that the Authority is dealing with a very limited base of who can supply the services required by the Authority. A brief discussion ensued.

- (6) Update on status of the Water Quality Restoration Facility (WQRF) Project

Mr. Anastasio reported that the Authority is coming up on the one year anniversary of the Project and that an update report will be presented to the Board at the March Board Meeting. The Authority has been on hold for the winter and the Authority is about to resume the metering the first or second week of March.

- (7) Emergency Declaration For Temporary Storage Tanks for Sodium Hypochlorite

Mr. Anastasio stated that he had one additional item to discuss with the Board which was not on the agenda. He reported that the Authority has Sodium Hypochlorite which is used to disinfect the wastewater before it is discharged. The Authority is required to have the Sodium Hypochlorite tanks inspected as part of our DPCC Plan. An inspection was done in January. After the last inspection, one of the three tanks could not be placed back into service. It seems that the fiberglass tanks are good for about 15 years and then they start eating from the inside out. After having discussions with CDM Smith, Omni/Kleinfelder Engineering and some repair companies, it was recommended to not repair but to replace the tank.

Also, the repair companies were eager to make the required repairs, but they would not guarantee that they would pass the required testing to be certifiable. Therefore, it will be necessary for the tanks to be replaced. A brief discussion ensued.

Additionally, Mr. Anastasio reported that it was decided that since some of the Authority's storage capacity has been compromised and after reviewing the Local Public Contracts Law, the Authority staff can declare this an emergency situation (the emergency being that the Authority wants to get temporary storage tanks on site to restore our capacity of Sodium Hypochlorite and then later prepare specifications to replace the tanks). Mr. Anastasio stated that the Board is being asked to support this emergency declaration and authorize a resolution at this time to get the temporary storage tank on site. Again, a brief discussion ensued.

Upon motion of Mr. Albano, second of Mr. Mathews, Res. No. 14-0224-5 (Resolution Supporting The Declaration Of An Emergency Situation By The Authority Staff In Relation To The Need To Obtain Temporary Tanks To Store Sodium Hypochlorite Because of Damage and Deterioration To The Currently Used Fiberglass Tanks) was approved by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Absent
Philip Petrone	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Absent
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

- (7) Update on status of litigation with CNA (**CLOSED SESSION-LITIGATION**)
 - (a) Res. No. 14-0224- Resolution Authorizing Settlement Of Litigation With Continental Casualty Insurance Company
- (8) Update on status of litigation with Carbro Constructors Corp. (**CLOSED SESSION-LITIGATION**)

b. Thomas Laustsen, P.E. (CDM Smith) Engineer's Report for February 2014 - No questions or comments.

c. Attorney - Joseph J. Maraziti, Jr., Esq., Maraziti, Falcon & Healey, LLP (MFH)

d. Department Reports - No Comments

- | | |
|----------------|----------------------------|
| (1) Operations | (3) Maintenance/Electrical |
| (2) Laboratory | (4) Special Projects |

e. Facility Engineer Reports - No Comments

- (1) Capacity Allocation
- (2) Capacity Assurance
- (3) Monthly Flow Report
- (4) Facility Engineer's Monthly Report

f. Budget Reports - No Comments

Minute 11 - Communications - No Comments

- a. NJDEP, Division of Water Quality; Residual Transfer Report; Re: Reporting Period: December 2013
- b. NJDEP, Division of Water Quality; Surface Water Discharge Monitoring Report; Re: Reporting Period: December 2013
- c. NJDEP, Division of Water Quality; Residuals DMR; Re: Reporting Period: December 2013
- d. James Pontoriero, Supervisor; NJDEP, Division of Water Quality, Municipal Finance and Construction Element; dated January 27, 2014; Re: Meter Chamber No. 4 Southside Avenue
- e. Stephen M. Offen, Esq.; dated January 21, 2014; Re: Millstone Borough

Minute 12 - Payroll (Res. No. 14-0224-6)

Upon motion of Ms. Garafola, second of Mr. Albano, the above resolution was approved by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Absent
Philip Petrone	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Absent
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

Minute 13 - Cancellation of Checks - NoneMinute 14 - Bills (Res. No. 14-0224-7)

Upon motion of Mr. Mlenak, second of Mr. Albano, the above resolution was approved by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Absent
Philip Petrone	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Absent
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

Minute 15 - Motion to Adjourn to Closed Session

Upon motion of Ms. Garafola, second of Mr. Mathews, the meeting was adjourned to Closed Session at 8:52 p.m. for the reasons stated by Attorney Brewer: to discuss the two matters in litigation (one with Carbro Constructors Corp. and the second being litigation with CNA) and the two matters of negotiations by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Absent
Philip Petrone	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Absent
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Peter Stires	Yes

Minute 16 - Closed Session

Minute 17 - Motion to Reconvene in Open Session

Upon motion of Mr. Albano, second of Mr. Mathews, the meeting was reconvened in Open Session at 9:05p.m. by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Absent
Philip Petrone	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Absent
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Peter Stires	Yes

Prior to adjournment upon motion of Mr. Machala, second of Ms. Garafola, Res. No. 14-0224-8 - Resolution Authorizing Settlement of Litigation With Continental Casualty Insurance Company was adopted by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Absent
Philip Petrone	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Absent
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

Minute 18 - Adjournment

Upon a motion of Mr. Impellizeri, second of Mr. Albano, meeting was adjourned at 9:06 p.m. by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Carlos Mosquera	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Absent
Philip Petrone	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Absent
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Peter Stires	Yes