



The
Somerset Raritan Valley
Sewerage Authority

**MINUTES OF THE 826th MEETING
THE SOMERSET RARITAN VALLEY SEWERAGE AUTHORITY
June 22, 2015**

Minute 1 – Opening of Meeting

The 826th Meeting of the Somerset Raritan Valley Sewerage Authority was called to order at 7:30 P.M. by the Chairman, Peter Stires.

Minute 2 – Open Public Meetings Announcement

The Open Public Meeting Announcement was read by the Executive Director, Ronald S. Anastasio.

Minute 3 – Roll Call

Todd Hay	Present	Richard Mathews	Present
Richard DeLuca	Present	Michael Merdinger	Present
Philip Petrone	Present	Joseph Lifrieri	Present
Edward Machala	Present	Michael Impellizeri	Present
Carolann Garafola	Present	Gail Quabeck	Present
Steven Mlenak	Present	Robert Albano	Present
		Peter Stires	Present

Professional Staff

Ronald Anastasio, P.E., Executive Director	Present
Sherwin Ulep, P.E., Facility Engineer	Absent
Anthony Tambasco, Plant Superintendent	Present
Michael Ingenito, Supervisor-Wet End	Present
Anamia Myhre, Regulatory Compliance Officer	Present
James Cosgrove, Jr., P.E., Kleinfelder, Consultant	Present
Thomas Lausten, P.E., CDM Smith, Consulting Engineer	Present
Joseph Maraziti, Esq., Maraziti Falcon, General Counsel	Absent
Peter Wozniak, Chief Financial Officer	Present
Linda Hering, Human Resources Manager	Present

Others

Donna O'Shea, Administrative Assistant

Minute 4 – Pledge of Allegiance

All in attendance saluted the flag.

Minute 5 – Approval of Minutes

A. 825th Meeting – May 18, 2015 Regular Session

Upon motion of Mr. Albano, second of Mr. Impellizeri, the Minutes of the 825th Meeting (Regular Session) were approved by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Philip Petrone	Abstain	Joseph Lifrieri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Abstain	Robert Albano	Abstain
		Peter Stires	Yes

B. 825th Meeting – May 18, 2015 Closed Session

Upon motion of Mr. Hay, second of Mr. Lifrieri, the Minutes of the 825th Meeting (Regular Session) were approved by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Philip Petrone	Abstain	Joseph Lifrieri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Abstain	Robert Albano	Abstain
		Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the Minutes are attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

Minute 6 – Public Hearing (Including Public Notice/Support Information)

A. Public Hearing – Request to Reissue a Non-Domestic Wastewater Discharge Permit to Wyeth Holdings LLC –

(1) **Res. No. 15-0622-1 – Resolution Authorizing the Reissuance of a Non-Domestic Wastewater Discharge Permit to Wyeth Holdings LLC**

So, before we get to this Resolution, Bob had a question regarding this permit and why there is a requirement to do sampling quarterly at the site and why it couldn't be done semi-annually. There is a lot of work going on at the site and there are a lot of changes to the site. Even though originally the Wyeth people wanted a longer time period between samples, quarterly is adequate.

The reason the question was raised is that it was assumed that the EPA's requirements were semi-annual given the proximity of the site and the toxicity level and the volume. We have our own program and Anamia keeps her eye on all of that as well with our quarterly and monthly requirements.

Upon Motion of Mr. Albano, Second by Mr. Hay, the above resolution was approved by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Philip Petrone	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
		Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the Resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

Minute 7 - Resolutions for Consideration and Possible Formal Action

B. Res. No. 15-0622-2 – Sewer Extension Resolution

Discussion ensued regarding where the location is for this sewer extension. It's the American Grill Restaurant and the location will be at Bridgewater Commons Mall.

Upon Motion from Mr. Machala, Second of Mr. Albano, the above resolution was approved by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Philip Petrone	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
		Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the Resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

D. Res. No. 15-0622-4 – Resolution Modifying the Authority's Cash Management Plan to Authorize the HR Manager to Make Payroll Bank Transfers

No comments were made regarding this Resolution.

Upon Motion from Ms. Quabeck, Second of Ms. Garafola, the above resolution was approved by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Philip Petrone	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
		Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the Resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

Minute 8 - Board Committees

A. Planning Committee (LIFRIERI, Garafola, Impellizeri, Machala, Merdinger)

1. Res. 15-0622-5 – Resolution Accepting Kleinfelder Cost Proposal Dated June 8, 2015 to Conduct In-Stream Monitoring in the Raritan River

Executive Director Anastasio spoke about a meeting that took place last Monday and we had three items that we discussed. The first item was the proposal from Kleinfelder regarding the Phosphate in the river and the discharges. Jim Cosgrove is here to discuss if necessary. Discussion about the 2015 Monitoring Program was explained by Mr. Cosgrove:

As you may recall, we've been out on the Raritan River monitoring water flow for several years. DEP is about to adopt a nutrient TMDL for almost all of the Raritan Basin except the portion that we discharge to. The reason for that is because of some of the work that we've done in the past. As Glen Petrauski used to say "gravity doesn't apply to this section of the Raritan River." We've studied the impact of nutrients on excessive algae and things like that over the years and there are years that we've seen tremendous impact at times when you wouldn't expect them in the Raritan, and there are times that it's dry and the flow is where you would expect to see a terrible impact but you don't see that. So, we could sit back and do nothing and wait for the DEP to get to this section of the watershed; however, because of what we've seen out there, there are years when we do see the impact. We want to keep ourselves armed with good data so that when DEP comes knocking at the door, we have some facts that we're ready with. I think right now that DEP could just declare that if we have no other data, we have excessive productivity in the stream at times and therefore, the phosphorous standard of .01 should apply. It is extremely expensive to treat this.

You may also recall a couple of years ago when we realized that we had Benzene in the Raritan and maybe there is some interaction between the Benzene being discharged from American Cyanamid site and what we're seeing makes sense. From last year, when Pfizer put in the cut-off trench and their pumping groundwater so that it doesn't interact with the Raritan River, we did not detect Benzene in the Raritan. We did detect it in the Cuckel's Brook but it was not detectable in the Raritan.

If you read our proposal, one of the things you'll see that we've enhanced from the past is that we tried to reduce the monitoring as much as we could to save cost. At the same time, we tried to add some things that we haven't analyzed in the past. One of the things we're proposing to do is to use a new lab method that will enable us to get lower detection levels because even though Benzene was there last summer, we couldn't detect it.

We're also proposing to monitor the groundwater level on the Pfizer property to better understand when it is that groundwater levels are high. This is a new feature – we haven't done this before. We're still searching for this link to solve this mystery. We haven't figured out this mechanism as to why the water quality phosphorous is affected. One of the things we've seen in the

past is after a wet spring we seem to have excessive productivity in the Raritan. So, the thought was maybe a wet spring would tend to elevate groundwater levels.

Mr. Anastasio added that it's important for us to continue to monitor the Raritan River. We've scaled back the level of effort this year compared to last year. We've sampled for a lot more pollutants and metals. The price is about half this year compared to what we spent last year. We do believe it is important to keep on top of this situation because at some point, we're going to need this data to help provide for better limits. Each increment above .01 is a tremendous amount of money. Even if we get it down to .05 we can save a lot of money from .01. It's just easier to treat it. From what our Engineers tell us .01 is more difficult to treat than even .02. One-tenth of a point is critical. Also, the on-going river monitoring is a continuation of the services that Kleinfelder has been providing to us.

Further explanation regarding a memo stating that we were going to scale back but yet enhance the program. It's a choice of words – scaled back from last year's study which had a lot more effort in sampling and tests.

Commissioner Garafola discussed COAH and building up so much which brings more people into the Service Area and flow into the sewers.

Executive Director Anastasio said that it comes down to having the data in order to be armed in order to make the arguments. Glen used to always say "let the science dictate." The Authority is spending real money and going out there and getting good data so we can make the right decisions instead of what the easy answer is. It's an investment. Attorney Maraziti added that there's a legal principal that when you anticipate litigation, create as many good facts as you can.

Upon Motion from Ms. Quabeck, Second by Mr. Machala, the above resolution was approved by the following roll call vote.

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Philip Petrone	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
		Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the Resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

2. **Res. 15-0622-6** – Selection of Concrete Encasement as Means of Protecting Existing Pipes at River Crossing

This has to do with the crossing at the Raritan River at Manhole #9. If you recall, when they were doing the hardening up in that area because of storm events and changing of the river, we could see the pipes in the river reflecting to the surface; so, there wasn't much cover and it was determined that we needed to do some kind of hardening to the pipes.

CDM Smith was asked to put together several options for the protection of the lines and the cost range is anywhere from \$125,000 to as much as \$870,000. The solution that was selected to be

the best one for our purposes was the one for \$450,000. It had to do with encasing the interceptor in concrete to prevent any kind of damage to the pipes especially from floating debris or if a loose barge can hit one of the pipes. We looked at the revetment blanket but it curls up and the problem is that it is not a permanent solution. The Committee felt that the encasement in concrete would be the best choice given the facts. This has the least chance of failure and the most secure solution.

This is something we've been picking at with the Planning Committee since we discovered the need for this. We're coming up on our 2016 Operation Budget and Capital Budget. We want to put this on our Capital Budget and on our radar. It doesn't mean we're going to go and build it in 2016, but we'll probably start the permit process and that might take a year. We want to get this in the pipeline (no pun intended). Maybe we'll build it in 2017 or 2018. We'll have to see how things are going.

To further explain, the very tops of the pipes are what we're seeing. The pipes are 36" wide across the river; however, we see about a foot of concrete exposed on each pipe. It's right at the surface, but the erosion is getting worse in the area. We hadn't noticed it in the past. Also, because the river at Manhole #9 was changing and big trees were washed up and laying across the area; so, we couldn't get a close view of river at that point to see the pipes. When the contractor did the work last summer, he removed all of the trees and we were able to walk up to the edge and that's when our Facility Engineer, Sherwin Ulep, was out there and noticed the pipes. So, now that we know about it, we have to act.

A Commissioner asked that since the change in the river and the change in the bridge have caused the scouring of this reinforcement in, are you going to extend the view to the bank? Mr. Anastasio added that we talked about this because originally we thought we would remove all these gabions which are chain-link fence type material (two baskets filled with rocks). So, to not disturb all those gabions, we thought we would inject cement grout into all the voids. Also, into the soil surrounding the pipe in the ground is granular material; so, if we inject grout into the ground, we'll make that a solid mass. We'll have the same affect; so, we don't have to dig anything up on land.

We have some experience with this since we solidified foundations for our piping for the incinerator that's outside the building ten years ago. After Hurricane Irene, we did some similar fill of voids that were in the base of the Storm Control Pumping Station below the concrete slab. I don't think the cost of this will be all that high. Maybe \$30,000 for this grouting (\$30,000 on each side).

Amendment will be made to this Resolution...The language of the Resolution will be changed – Additional In-Situ stabilization of the pipeline.

Upon Motion of Mr. Hay, Second of Mr. Lifrieri, the above resolution was then approved by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Philip Petrone	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
		Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the Resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes

B. Personnel Committee (PETRONE, Albano, DeLuca, Mathews, Quabeck)

1. Res. No. 15-0622-9 – Resolution Endorsing and Approving Permanent Status of Anthony Telleri as Solid Division Helper

Upon Motion from Mr. Petrone, Second of Ms. Garafola, the above resolution was approved by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Philip Petrone	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
		Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the Resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes.

C. CHAIRPERSON

No further report.

Minute 9 – Public Participation - NONE

Minute 10 – Reports

A. Executive Director’s Report

(1) Update on SCTF (Storm Control Treatment Facility)

As I reported last month, DEP has an on-going technical meeting with Engineer Cosgrove and his people from Kleinfelder over what the proposed treatment limits would be. Actually, Jim had just drafted a memo basically memorializing some of these discussions -- about some of the intricacies and science behind them and all the nuances. We understand that the review is looking favorable and what we’re waiting on is a letter from DEP indicating what the preliminary limits of this proposed facility would be. That’s the basis for everything. When we first met with them in February, they indicated that would be the first step; and depending upon what the outcome would be, we would then go back and see if our design would be able to meet what they had determined were proposed limits. As I understand it, and we have Jim in the room tonight so he can back it up, right now, the way our facility is proposed to be designed will meet these limits adequately. So, that appears on track – it just takes a while to work through all of this. Engineer Cosgrove has issued his technical memo today and we expect a letter in a couple of weeks. That will bring us to the next step with DEP.

On another front, we have received the final appraisal reports for the two sites we are looking at. Attorney Carney and I have had some comments and we went back to the Appraiser with just some small, technical things; but the reports look good. I'll be talking with the Board more in the coming months about this.

Our next step is to now start talking with the County to discuss what our findings are and what we had talked about a few months ago, which is that we have some land that the County might be interested in. Before we get to that point on a formal basis, I would certainly come back to the Planning Committee and the Board to further discuss our findings. Certainly, it's on a parallel track with the progress with DEP. If we don't make progress with DEP, we don't move forward with any land swap with the County. With those reports in hand, I can now go to the County.

On another front, we've met with three towns so far last Wednesday. Engineer Cosgrove and I went up and met with the Warren Township Sewerage Authority Board and we had a good meeting. Tomorrow, June 23rd, we're meeting with Bridgewater (Bob and Joe will be there). We have three more towns to meet with and I'll be in touch with respective Board Members when we set those up.

Carolann, I know you had some comments about COAH – Like we talked about last Wednesday night at your meeting with Warren Township Sewerage Authority, Warren sits high above the interceptor and is not subject to the flooding that the other towns like Somerville feel directly, but the ability to issue TWA's would affect everybody and I know that was very important with your Board. I know you had some further comments about COAH.

Carolann Garafola said that right now, Warren determined that we need to put in approximately 1100 units. Our town is joining up with other towns to challenge that number because we're looking at approximately 400. The issue of sewerage in Warren (in the north end) is a nightmare. I imagine that there are other towns dealing with the same thing – if you don't have the sewerage infrastructure, you're caught between a rock and a hard place. I just didn't know what your towns were doing relative to that; whether it's at the sewerage authority level or the municipality level. It sort of struck me – a light bulb went on – DEP is saying one thing and the Federal Government is saying another thing. It's going to be interesting in the next couple of years. With all this building, where does the cost get spread? Commissioner Quabeck stated that Warren Township has a dilemma. A permit won't be issued to Warren to build a sewerage facility...If the State doesn't give an approval, you build nothing.

(2) Update on ACCO Demolition Project

(a) Res. No. 26-0622-3 – Tricon Enterprises – Change Order No. 2 (Steel Structure Demolition)

We're almost done with this project. Now, you can really see how big that area is. We're in the home stretch. A few weeks ago, I asked the contractor how much additional time he needed. They felt comfortable with a date of July 10th. We have, for consideration, this Resolution which explains added cost of brick disposal as I mentioned in my memo. The Authority has no operational records of this facility and we never obtained records from Pfizer as to the chemical waste that was treated by this plant at that time. Once we had received a more final waste profile sheet, we had to classify this type of waste, based on what we know about it, into the EPA waste classification codes. So, we had to best fit this type of waste based on what we know about it into the classification codes. This waste fits in the K codes – K002 through K008 which relates to waste generated from pigments

because they did make Analine dyes at the facility. Even though there was testing data of the bricks, which were included in the bid documents, this data indicates that the bricks are basically clean and they are non-hazardous. In many places in the bid document we stated that we wanted to dispose of this waste as “hazardous waste” because we know the history of the facility. In any event, we didn’t include the codes in the document because unless you’re totally ready to obtain prices from a landfill, they don’t want to talk to you. What we realized is that the K codes did not represent the base level pricing for hazardous waste disposal. There’s a little bit of an increased cost to them. So, the contractor raised an issue that we didn’t provide all the known information; and we disagreed. We argued with these guys for over a month. We started in mid-April and there’s a 90-day timeclock associated with this waste. When it came down to looking at the situation, what we’re really arguing over is about \$10,000. I think the cost was \$12,000 and change. We realized in speaking with Attorneys Maraziti and Carney that it would cost more to fight over it than to actually negotiate a price.

Now, any time the contractor sees there is a changed condition, they look at what the maximum upside would be wanted about \$155 per ton added on top of the \$175 per ton that’s in the bid. We told them that was crazy. We negotiated the price down to \$36 per ton. We told them we would give them the difference of the bare cost for the additional cost in disposing of the K-coded waste and there’s a little bit of an add-on on top of that; but this does not provide them all of their overhead and no profit. They agreed to it because they realized that they could have asked what the codes were.

We came to a meeting of the minds. Commissioner Quabeck added that we should pay them and be done with it. Trailers are going out to a landfill in Ohio. CDM and I had separate conversations with the landfill independent of Tricon. The waste disposal at the landfill had to be approved by the landfill operator and then it had to be approved by the Ohio DEP. Those approvals were received and everything looks good.

A conversation ensued about the top three bidders for the Demolition Project.

Upon Motion of Mr. Mlenak, Second of Mr. Hay, the above resolution was then approved by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Philip Petrone	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
		Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the Resolution is attached hereto and made part of the Official Minutes

(3) Update on Hurricane Irene FEMA Claim

When we put this on the agenda, (Peter Wozniak, Chief Financial Officer) was contacted about two weeks ago. They were looking for some additional information. So, it’s in Washington; they’re looking at it; they’re asking questions and working on it.

A couple e-mails were exchanged between Peter Wozniak and FEMA. It looks like we're hitting some snags. We have to do a little research. They're asking questions like why didn't you get flood insurance after Hurricane Floyd when a claim was paid. Norma Smullen, Office Manager, who fortunately for us has dealt with both claims, so she knows it cold. We have to look into this more; but we believe that we were never required or notified that buying flood insurance was a requirement. We have to have dialogue with them and have to talk internally of what to do.

Fortunately, we did not sustain any damage from Hurricane Sandy. We'll keep working on this and we'll keep you posted.

Additional note on Wyeth Permit (Resolution 15-0622-01) – Mr. Anastasio made a correction to an earlier statement... Wyeth wanted quarterly reporting but we settled on monthly. This is a permit for groundwater disposal, and like other groundwater disposals, we require them to be monthly. With the level of toxicity and proximity and all the work they're doing at that site, monthly is fine.

- B. Engineer/Consultants** – Thomas Laustsen, P.E. (CDM Smith) Engineer's Report for January, 2015– No additional comments.
- C. Attorney** - Joseph J. Maraziti, Jr., Esq., Maraziti Falcon, LLP – No additional comments.
- D. Department Reports** –
- E. Facility Engineer Reports** – No additional comments.
 - (1) Capacity Allocation
 - (2) Capacity Assurance
 - (3) Monthly Flow Report
 - (4) Facility Engineer's Monthly Report

Minute 11 – Communications – No additional comments.

- A. NJDEP, Division of Water Quality; Residual Transfer Report; Re: Reporting Period: 5/1/15-5/31/15
- B. NJDEP, Division of Water Quality; Surface Water Discharge Monitoring Report; Re: Reporting Period: 5/1/15-5/31/15
- C. NJDEP, Division of Water Quality; Residuals DMR; Re: Reporting Period: 5/1/15-5/31/15

Minute 12 – Res. No. 15-0622-7 - Payroll

Upon motion of Mr. Albano, second of Ms. Garafola, the above Resolution was approved by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Philip Petrone	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
		Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the Resolution is attached hereto and made part of the official Minutes.

Minute 13 – Res. No. 15-0622-8 – Resolution – BILLS

Upon Motion of Mr. Albano, Second of Mr. Hay the above Resolution was approved by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Philip Petrone	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
		Peter Stires	Yes

A copy of the Resolution is attached hereto and made part of the official Minutes.

Minute 14 – Motion to Adjourn to Closed Session

Upon Motion of Mr. Lifrieri, Second of Mr. Albano, the Regular Session was Adjourned at 8:20 p.m. and the meeting was Reconvened in Closed Session to discuss land lease matters by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Philip Petrone	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
		Peter Stires	Yes

Minute 15 – Motion to Reconvene to Open Session

Upon Motion of Ms. Quabeck, Second of Mr. Lifrieri, the Closed Session was Adjourned at 8:55 p.m. and the meeting was Reconvened in Open Session by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Philip Petrone	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
		Peter Stires	Yes

Minute 16 - Adjournment

Upon a motion of Mr. Mathews, Second of Mr. Hay, the meeting was adjourned at 8:55 p.m. by the following roll call vote:

Todd Hay	Yes	Richard Mathews	Yes
Richard DeLuca	Yes	Michael Merdinger	Yes
Philip Petrone	Yes	Joseph Lifrieri	Yes
Edward Machala	Yes	Michael Impellizeri	Yes
Carolann Garafola	Yes	Gail Quabeck	Yes
Steven Mlenak	Yes	Robert Albano	Yes
		Peter Stires	Yes

NEXT REGULAR BOARD MEETING – JULY 27, 2015